

17. (CONSIDERATION OF SUBSIDENCE COSTS PROJECT, LONG BEACH - W. O. 10,005.)

Following presentation of the attached Calendar Item No. 28, Mr. Hortig explained that the staff had not recommended approval of Items 6, 8, and 12 of the work proposed by Long Beach, for the reason that the work had been completed and paid for without prior approval.

The Chairman asked whether there was any overlap between the Pier A project submitted for approval (See Minute Item 16 immediately preceding), and the monthly payments being considered. Mr. Hortig reported that all Pier A project costs to be paid after January 1, 1957 had been excluded from the amounts recommended for approval under the subject item.

Mr. John C. Spence, Jr., Deputy City Attorney for Long Beach, indicated that the recommendation as presented met with his approval.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED:

THE COMMISSION APPROVES THE COSTS PROPOSED TO BE EXPENDED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, INCLUDING SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK UNDER PROJECT L.B. W. O. 10,005, BETWEEN JANUARY 1, 1957 AND JUNE 30, 1957, NOT TO EXCEED \$959,530; SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS, HOWEVER, THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, OF EACH OF THE ITEMS TO BE ALLOWED ULTIMATELY AS SUBSIDENCE COSTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 5(a) OF CHAPTER 29, STATUTES OF 1956, 1st E.S., WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED; AND THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR THE MINERAL RESOURCES ENGINEER ARE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.

Attachment

Calendar Item No. 28 (3 pages)

MISCELLANEOUS

28.

(CONSIDERATION OF SUBSIDENCE COSTS PROJECT LONG BEACH - W. O. 10,005.)

The Commission has heretofore approved the costs proposed to be expended by the Harbor Department of the City of Long Beach, including subsidence remedial work for the balance of the fiscal year, under nine projects, August 15, 1956 (Minute Item 4, pages 2759-60, W. O. 10,003, W. O. 10,014), September 27, 1956 (Minute Item 15, pages 2819-21, W. O. 10,002, 10,006, 10,007, 10,008), November 8, 1956 (Minute Item 11, pages 2880-81, W. O. 10,009, W. O. 10,010), December 5, 1956 (Minute Item 14, pages 2947-48).

The City of Long Beach, through the Port of Long Beach, has presented for consideration and conditional approval an additional project to remedy and protect against the effects of subsidence of the land surface within the Long Beach Harbor District. This project has received initial staff review and is considered to include some "subsidence costs" as defined in Section 1(f) of Chapter 29, Statutes of 1956, 1st E.S., but not necessarily all of those costs as estimated by the Port of Long Beach.

MISCELLANEOUS 28. (CONT'D)

L. B. 10,005 - Project and estimates submitted by the Port of Long Beach, Pier A.

<u>WORK PROPOSED</u>	<u>ESTIMATED TOTAL PRO- JECTS EXPENDITURES, JANUARY 1, 1957 TO JUNE 30, 1957</u>	<u>ESTIMATED "SUB- SIDENCE" COSTS</u>
1. Berths 1 and 2. Wharf re- habilitation.	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000 (100%)
2. Berths 1 and 2. Fill-in area redevelopment.	60,000	60,000 (100%)
3. Berth 2. Transit Shed re- habilitation.	25,000	25,000 (100%)
4. Berths 3 and 4. Shed and wharf remedial work.	231,430	231,430 (100%)
5. Berths 5, 6 and 7. Shed and wharf remedial work.	83,800	83,800 (100%)
6. Berth 11. Bulkhead (Work com- pleted and paid for without prior approval. Not to be approved hereunder.)		
7. Berth 11. Redevelopment	75,000	75,000 (100%)
8. Berth 10. Warehouse No. 5 (Work completed and paid for without prior approval. Not to be ap- proved hereunder.)		
9. Berth 6. Warehouse No. 6	413,000	8,200 (2%)
10. Berth 6. Pump Station (Comple- ted under November and December, 1956 Force Accounts Approvals.)		
11. Pier A. East Pump Station	8,000	8,000 (100%)
12. Berths 208-211. Cathodic protec- tion system. Work proposed is for protection of steel bulkhead installed prior to April 1, 1956. Not to be approved hereunder.)		
13. Pier A. Southeast extension	100,000	20,000 (20%)
14. Panorama Drive Development	182,100	77,400 (42.5%)

MISCELLANEOUS 28. (CONT'D.)

<u>WORK PROPOSED</u>	<u>ESTIMATED TOTAL PRO- JECTS EXPENDITURES, JANUARY 1, 1957 TO JUNE 30, 1957</u>	<u>ESTIMATED "SUB- SIDENCE" COSTS</u>
15. Windham Avenue Development	\$ 113,900	\$ 55,200 (48.6%)
16. South Maine Avenue Development	69,000	52,800 (76.5%)
17. Marine Terminals Corporation relocation.	53,000	53,000 (100%)
18. Administration Building re- placement	515,000	126,700 (24.6%)
19. Pier A. Maintenance Division	25,500	16,000 (62.7%)
20. Electrical and Plant Division	<u>15,000</u>	<u>7,000</u> (46.7%)
	<u>\$2,029,730</u>	<u>\$ 959,530</u>

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION APPROVE THE COSTS PROPOSED TO BE EXPENDED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, INCLUDING SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK UNDER PROJECT L.B. W. O. 10,005, BETWEEN JANUARY 1, 1957 AND JUNE 30, 1957, NOT TO EXCEED \$959,530; SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS, HOWEVER, THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, OF EACH OF THE ITEMS TO BE ALLOWED ULTIMATELY AS SUBSIDENCE COSTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 5(a) OF CHAPTER 29, STATUTES OF 1956, 1st E.S., WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED; AND THAT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR THE MINERAL RESOURCES ENGINEER BE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.